



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,670	01/03/2002	Eliel Louzoun	42390P11425	8281	
8791	8791 7590 10/08/2004			EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			LEFKOWITZ	Z, SUMATI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2112		

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/037,670	LOUZOUN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sumati Lefkowitz	2112			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repulse of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> .	<u>January 2002</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-80</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-80</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 January 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail [

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-80 are pending.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because
 - it fails to mention that the first, second, and third indicators are unlocked, locked, and locked semaphore states, making the abstract difficult to understand
 - on lines 4-5, the recitation of "reducing by one a number of requesters" does not seem to consistent with the specification

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 17 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In particular, claim 17 recites that the requesters comprise registers, which does not

Art Unit: 2112

appear to have support in the specification, which recites that the shared resource comprises registers but does not recite that the requesters comprise set-by-read and set-by-write registers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 7, 10, 11, 13-18, 20-28, 33-36, 46-49, 52, 55, 56, 58-63, 65-67, 70-72, 74, 75, 77, and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buch, 5,669,002.

As to claims 1-4, 7, 10, 11, 13, and 14, 1: Buch discloses a method, comprising: determining, in response to being accessed by a first requester (i.e., processor), whether a component is available; and replacing, if the component is available, a first indicator (i.e., G0 vector, indicating unlocked state) indicating that the shared resource is available, with a second indicator (i.e., unique ID vector of locking processor) reducing access to the component, to permit access to the component by the first requester, 2: further comprising: indicating, if the component is unavailable (i.e., if lock register holds unique vector ID of another processor), the second indicator to the first requester to prohibit access to the component by the first requester, 3: wherein being accessed by the first requester comprises the first requester executing a read, 4: further comprising indicating the first indicator to the first requester to indicate that the first

Art Unit: 2112

requester can access the component, 7: wherein determining, in response to being accessed by the first requester, whether the component is available comprises determining a presence of the first indicator, 10: further comprising receiving, by execution of an operation by a second requester upon completion of access to the component by the second requester, a third indicator (i.e., G0 vector) increasing access to the component to replace the second indicator, 11: wherein the operation comprises a write of the third indicator, 13: wherein the first requester and the second requester comprise one requester, 14: wherein the first indicator and the third indicator comprise a same indicator (i.e., G0 vector) (note column 2, line13 – column 3, line 5 and column 3, line 28 – column 5, line 60).

- b. As to claims 15, 16, 20-26, 60, 61, 65-67, 70, and 71 the claim limitations have already been discussed with respect to claims 1-3, 6-10 and 13 above.
- c. As to claims 17 and 62, in light of the 112/1st rejection above, the claim is being interpreted as the component comprising registers. Buch discloses that the component comprises registers (note column 3, lines 28-45).
- d. As to claims 18 and 63, Buch discloses that the registers comprise set-by-read registers read by processes attempting to access the component (note column 2, lines 23-36, column 4, line 65 column 5, line 16 and column 5, lines 32-45).
- e. As to claims 27, 28, and 33-36, Buch discloses a method of obtaining access to a shared resource, comprising: accessing a register (i.e., lock register); and changing, as a result of accessing the register if the register detects a first value (i.e., unlocked status vector G0); indicating that the shared resource is available, the first value to a second value (i.e., node ID vector of accessing device/process); and limiting access to the shared resource (i.e., locking

Art Unit: 2112

access to devices/processes with IDs other than the one matching the second value), 28: wherein accessing the register comprises reading the register, 33: further comprising: receiving from the register the first value (i.e., unlocked status vector G0) indicating that the shared resource is available; accessing the shared resource; and changing upon completion of access to the shared resource, the second value (i.e., locked status value – locking device's ID) to a third value (i.e., unlocked status vector G0) increasing access to the shared resource, 34: wherein changing the second value to the third value comprises writing the third value to the register (note column 2, lines 50-59, column 4, lines 43-64, column 5, lines 17-30, column 5, lines 46-60), 35: wherein the first value, the second value and the third value comprise variables, 36: wherein the first value and the third value comprise a same variable (note column 2, line 13 – column 5, line 60).

- f. As to claims 46, 47, 48, 49, 52, 55, 56, 58, and 59 the claim limitations have already been discussed with respect to claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 10, 13, and 14 above.
- g. As to claims 72, 74, 75, 77, and 78, Buch discloses an apparatus (i.e., computer system of Figure 1) comprising: a resource (note Figure 2, shared records); a storage area in the resource (note Figure 2, element 78 lock registers); a first value (i.e., unlocked state vector G0) in the storage area, which the storage area changes to a second value (i.e., processor node ID vector) in response to access to the storage area; and the second value in the storage area, 74: wherein the storage area comprises a register (i.e., lock registers), 75: wherein the access to the storage area comprises a read of the storage area, 77: wherein the first value indicates that the resource is available, 78: wherein the second value reduces access to the resource (note column 2, line 1 column 5, line 60).

Art Unit: 2112

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 7. Claims 5, 6, 19, 29-31, 40-45, 50, 51, 64, and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buch, 5,669,002 (hereinafter Buch).
- a. As to claims 5, 50, and 76, although Buch fails to disclose that being accessed comprises the first requester executing a write of the second indicator, Buch does disclose that being accessed comprises the lock register controller executing a write of the second indicator (note column 2, lines 13-59).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the registers written to by any equivalent means, including by the processes themselves, or by a lock register controller, since the underlying concept of writing a values to

Art Unit: 2112

the registers to indicate that the shared resource is locked is not impacted by the manner in which the write is performed.

- b. As to claims 6 and 51, Buch discloses that the second indicator comprises an identifier of the first requester (note column 2, lines 13-59).
- c. As to claims 19, 29, 40, 41, and 64, although Buch fails to disclose that the registers comprise set-by-write registers that are written to by the processes attempting to access the component, Buch does disclose that the registers are written to in response to processes attempting to access the component (note column 2, lines 13-36 and column 4, lines 10-35 and column 4, line 65 column 5, line 16 and column 5, lines 31-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the registers written to by any equivalent means, including by the processes themselves, or in response to a read access to the registers by the processes, since the underlying concept of writing a values to the registers to indicate that the shared resource is locked is not impacted by the manner in which the write is performed.

- d. As to claims 30, 31, 42, and 43, Buch discloses that the second value comprises an identifier of a process and reading the register to determine that the register contains the identifier (note column 2, lines 13-48).
- e. As to claims 44 and 45, Buch discloses that replacing the second indicator with the third indicator comprises writing the third indicator to the register, wherein the first indicator and the third indicator comprise the same indicator (note column 4, lines 43-64).

Art Unit: 2112

8. Claims 32 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buch, 5,669,002 (hereinafter Buch) in view of Dror, 5,276,886.

As to claims 32 and 73, Buch fails to disclose that the shared resource comprises a peripheral device in a computer system.

Dror discloses that the shared resource comprises a peripheral device in a computer system (note column 1, lines 7-60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the shared resource be a peripheral device, as Dror teaches, in the system of Buch so as to allow for the sharing of peripheral device among multiple processors and processes without errors and synchronization problems, as Dror teaches at column 1, lines 16-42.

- 9. Claims 12, 37-39, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buch, 5,669,002 (hereinafter Buch) in view of Montgomery et al., 6,529,933 (hereinafter Montgomery).
- a. As to claims 12 and 57, Buch fails to disclose that the first requester and the second requester comprise processes.

Montgomery discloses that the first and second requesters comprise processes (note column 1, lines 15-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the requesters be processes, as Montgomery teaches, in the system of Buch so as to allow multiple processes to coordinate their work on a common task, as Montgomery teaches at column 1, lines 15-22.

Art Unit: 2112

b. As to claims 37-39, the claimed elements have already been discussed with respect to claims 15, 16, and 18 above, with the exception of the steps comprising: reading and writing of the registers by a process.

Buch fails to disclose that the reading and writing of the register is done by a process.

Montgomery discloses that the a process does the reading and writing of a semaphore (note column 1, lines 15-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the requesters and therefore the readers and writers of the lock registers of Buch be processes, as Montgomery teaches, so as to allow multiple processes to coordinate their work on a common task, as Montgomery teaches at column 1, lines 15-22.

- 10. Claims 8, 9, 23, 24, 53, 54, 68 and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buch, 5,669,002 (hereinafter Buch) in view of Marshall et al., 6,529,983 (hereinafter Marshall).
- a. As to claims 8, 23, 53, and 68, Buch fails to disclose that wherein determining, in response to being accessed by the first requester, whether the component is available comprises determining based on an external indicator that the component is available.

Marshall discloses that determining whether a component is available comprises determining based on an external indicator that the component is available (note column 12, line 53 – column 13, line 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the use of an external indicator to determine if the component is available, as Marshall

Application/Control Number: 10/037,670 Page 10

Art Unit: 2112

teaches, in the system of Buch so as to allow for the locking of multiple resources, as Marshall teaches at column 13, lines 4-8.

b. As to claims 9, 24, 54, and 69, Marshall discloses that the external indicator comprises a flag (i.e., condition code register comprises multiple bits/flags indicating various status conditions).

- 11. Claims 79 and 80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buch, 5,669,002 (hereinafter Buch) in view of Schiffleger, 5,526,487.
- a. As to claim 79, Buch fails to disclose that the storage area is linked to other storage areas containing the first value.

Schiffleger discloses that the storage area is linked to other storage areas containing the first value (note column 1, lines 36-59 and claims 1 and 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the use of a storage area linked to other storage areas containing the first value, as Schiffleger teaches, in the system of Buch so as to allow for the limiting of access by a plurality of devices to shared resources within a single cluster, as Schiffleger teaches at column 1, lines 36-59.

b. As to claim 80, Schiffleger discloses that the other storage areas change the first value to the second value when the storage area changes the first value to the second value (note column 1, lines 36-59 and claims 1 and 10).

Conclusion

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/037,670

Art Unit: 2112

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, as the prior art teaches or suggests handling semaphores without bus locking.

US Patents:

6,446,149 Moriarty et al.

5,987,550 Shagam

5,968,143 Chisholm et al.

Japanese

Patents:

10-177560 Maruyama

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumati Lefkowitz whose telephone number is 703-308-7790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached at 703-305-4815.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

703-746-7238

for After-Final communications

703-872-9306

for Official communications

703-746-5661

for Non-Official/Draft communications

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Art Unit: 2112

Sumati Lefkowitz
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2112

sl 1--1-- 10

July 12, 2004